

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,545	ROSCHEISEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Howard E. Abramowitz	1762

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Howard E. Abramowitz. (3) _____.

(2) Hao Tung. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The rejection of claim 22 was discussed

Claims discussed:

22 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

3,646,591

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amending claims 22 and 35 to overcome the cited prior art and to comply with what was disclosed in the specification respectively.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)